

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/994,948	MILOVANOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After Allowance

 (1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Carlton H. Hoel (Reg. No. 29,934).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2006
**Time:** 1:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed to delete the last paragraph of the Specification because it was incomplete and there was no intention by the Applicant to have that last paragraph.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)